1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) United States Attorney
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4	JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorney
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7232 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8	Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  Criminal No. CR 07-0074 WHA
16 17	) STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> v. ) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
18 19	MICHAEL EDISON, Defendant.
20	
21	
22	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on December 11, 2007. The
23	defendant was represented by Roger Patton, Esq., and the government was represented by Jeffrey
24	Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was set before this Court for identification
25	of new counsel and status on December 18, 2007, at 2:00 p.m.
26	In addition to the existing time exclusion already in place for this time period, the Court
27	made a finding that the time from and including December 11, 2007, through and including
28	December 18, 2007, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A),
	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0074 WHA

because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until December 18, 2007, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

**JEFFRE** 

Assista

1


DATED: December 18, 2007

ROGER PATTON Counsel for Michael Edison

DATED: December 18, 2007

So ordered.

DATED: December 19, 2007.



SO ORDERED